

2007 CATS

Commonwealth Accountability Testing System

Concordance Table Presentation For Local Board of Education and Community Members



Condensed Version

This version explains a complex model in simple terms for the purpose of condensed presentations to local board of education and community members.

Its purpose is to show how concordance tables work in general.

Why do this?

- CATS 2007 Tests Changed:
 - new content design
 - new test design
 - tests given to new grade levels
 - new cut scores for novice, apprentice proficient, distinguished
 - changed importance (weights) of tests
 - added new tests (reading, math, ACT PLAN)

Why do this?

 Changes in the 2007 CATS tests do not allow direct comparison of 2006 and 2007 CATS scores.

 School accountability must continue even though the tests changed.

Why concordance?

- A concordance table allows us to compare two different tests from two different years.
- With concordance, we can link the 2007 scores to original school goals.
- By doing this, we can keep school accountability going.

Picture this:

The New York Yankees baseball team's batting average is .300 in 2006.

Let's pretend that, in 2007, Major League rules change:

Pitcher's mound is moved.

Basepaths are altered.

Homerun fence length is changed.

Aluminum bats are allowed.

In 2007, after the rules changed, the New York Yankees baseball team's batting average is .330. They are the first (best) batting team in 2007.

So here are our scores:

2006 Team Average: .300 (Original Rules)

2007 Team Average: .330 (Changed Rules)

Could we compare?

No; there are too many changes in 2007 rules to compare team averages.

Could we compare?

Yes, if we used a concordance table.

By knowing the ranks of teams in 2006 and 2007, we can make a link.

Here's how: The Yankees were the second-best batting team in 2006.

The best batting team in 2006 was the Cardinals, who batted .310.

So if the Yankees are the best batting team in 2007 with their .330, it may mean they would have batted as well as the Cardinals did in 2006.

NYY Batting
2007
.330(First Place)

Cards Batting
2006
.310 (First Place)

So, a .330 average in 2007 is concordant with a .310 average in 2006.

Concordance Tables

These tables allow comparisons by finding the rank order and score in one year and linking it to the rank order and score in a previous year.

Another Example

ACT Scores

SAT Scores

18 (middle score)

562 (middle score)

An 18 on ACT may be concordant with a 562 on the SAT, even though they are different tests.

How Would a CATS Concordance Work?

2007 Nonadjusted

Accountability Index

2007 (Estimated) Adjusted *

Accountability Index

MULATED DATA SIMU	80.0
	80.1
A concordance table v	80.2
show us that an 81.0	80.3
the 2007 nonadjusted	80.4
index would be a 80.9	80.5
	80.6
the adjusted 2007 ind	80.7
	80.8
	80.9
	81.0
SIMULATED DATA	81.1
OZITIOZNIED DATA	81.2
* 2007 builds expected growth from 20	81.3
Office of Assessment and	81.4

LATED DATA 80.2

would on on ex.

2006 to 2007.

Office of Assessment and Accountability

80.3
80.4
80.4
80.5
80.6
80.6
80.7
80.8
80.9
80.9
81.0
81.1
81.2

80.2

Important

- The concordance table will only be applied to a school's overall Accountability Index.
- A school's adjusted score does depend on the rank order of other schools in Kentucky.
- Concordance scores are a statistical adjustment; interpretations of growth/decline of scores should be made with awareness of the concordance table process.
- The table may leave scores the same, increase them slightly or decrease them slightly.
- Individual student scores are not affected. Parents will see regular CATS scores (novice, apprentice, proficient, distinguished).